Can changes in social contact (frequency and mode) mitigate low mood before and during the COVID-19 pandemic? The I-CONECT project
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Abstract

Background/Objectives: The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) global outbreak allowed a natural experiment to observe how older adults changed social patterns and how it affected their emotional well-being. We studied the frequency and modes of social contact and their effects on older adults’ mood before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Design: Phone-based surveys were administered weekly before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Setting: Participants were recruited from Portland, Oregon, and Detroit, Michigan.

Participants: Older adults ≥75 years old (n = 155, age = 81.0 ± 4.5, 72.3% women) were included in a randomized controlled trial, the Internet-Based Conversational Engagement Clinical Trial (I-CONECT).

Measurements: Low mood was self-reported as feeling downhearted or blue for three or more days in the past week. Social contact was self-reported by the amount of time spent in interactions, with whom (family, friends, others), and via which modes (in-person, phone/video call, text/email/letter).

Results: A total of 5525 weeks of data were derived from 155 participants. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, average social interaction time spent in-person, on phone/video call, and via text/email/letter was 406, 141, and 68 min/week, respectively. During the COVID-19 pandemic, time spent in-person was reduced by 135 min/week, while time spent on phone/video call and writing increased by 33 and 26 mins/week, respectively. In-person family contact was associated with less low mood regardless of the pandemic (odds ratio = 0.92, p < 0.05). There was a COVID-19 × text/email/letter with friends interaction (odds ratio = 0.77, p = 0.03), suggesting that during the COVID-19 pandemic, an increase of 1 h of writing with friends per week was associated with a 23% decrease in the likelihood of experiencing low mood.

Conclusion: The lost in-person time relating to COVID-19 restrictions tended to be partially compensated for with increased calls and writing time, although overall social interaction time decreased. During the COVID-19 pandemic, at
INTRODUCTION

Meaningful social interactions have gained credibility to ward off the effects of stress on worsening immune function, hypertension, and cardiovascular health problems. Connecting with supportive social ties, including family members, friends, or healthcare providers, is protective against depression via buffering the effects of stress and enhancing coping abilities. Conversely, limited social interactions may lead to maladaptation to stress (e.g., avoidance, withdrawal), depressive symptoms, suicidal ideation, slower recovery from illnesses, cognitive decline and dementia, and early death.

Since the outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), limiting social gathering and following stay-at-home orders have been critical to reducing exposure and spread of the virus. Although there is a common belief that older adults changed social patterns due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic to maintain psychological well-being, few studies had quantified the amount of change to test those hypotheses. Modes (in-person, phone/video call, text/email/letter) of social interactions vary based on costs, availability, geographic restrictions, preferences, and previous experiences. Some older adults prefer in-person conversations, while others opt for video conferences, phone calls, texts, or emails. Less is known about whether older adults changed the modes to stay in touch with social ties after the COVID-19 pandemic and, if any, whether the change in social patterns was associated with low mood (blueness) over time.

This study examined the frequency and modes (in-person, phone/video call, text/email/letter) of social contact (family, friends, others) and their effects on mood using data collected before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in at-risk older adults (with limited social interactions prior to COVID-19). Since one of the recruitment states (Michigan) issued their first stay-at-home order on March 23, 2020, we treated data collected before March 23, 2020 as before the COVID-19 outbreak. The information gleaned from this study will inform our understanding of how increased socialization during the COVID-19 pandemic may help maintain emotional well-being for older adults with already limited social interactions before the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

Research design

This is a secondary data analysis of 155 participants from a randomized controlled trial, the Internet-Based Conversational Engagement Clinical Trial (I-CONECT, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02871921). The I-CONECT study examines whether enhancing social interaction via video chat can improve cognitive function. This study is an extension of the previous pilot trial, where efficacy was shown. The experimental group participates in 30-min video chats with trained conversational staff (4 times/week for the first 6 months, 2 times/week for additional 6 months) and weekly check-in telephone calls. The control group receives only weekly check-in calls. Participants were recruited from Detroit, Michigan, and Portland, Oregon. The project is explained in detail elsewhere. Briefly, in the I-CONECT study, inclusion criteria were age 75 or older, normal cognition or mild cognitive impairment assessed by trial neuropsychologists and neurologists, and self-reported limited social interactions as defined by at least one of the following: (1) score \( \leq 12 \) on the 6-item Lubben Social Network Scale (LSNS-6); (2) engagement in sustained conversations.
(30 min or longer) fewer than twice per week, per subject self-report; and (3) answered “often” to at least one question on the 3-item UCLA Loneliness Scale. Exclusion criteria included (1) having dementia, (2) severe depressive symptoms operationally defined as a 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) score > 7, (3) current alcohol or substance abuse, (4) unstable medical conditions, (5) active systemic cancer within 5 years of the screening visit, or (6) surgery that required full sedation with intubation within 6 months of screening. Study approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at the Oregon Health & Science University (IRB 10005560) using a single IRB process. All the participants provided written informed consent and were included in the analysis (experimental and control groups). For the results presented in this manuscript, we included participants whose data were available as of August 2021.

Weekly health questionnaire

All participants regardless of treatment group assignment received weekly check-in phone calls from research staff and answered survey questions addressing items listed below before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Low mood

Low mood (“blueness”) was identified via a self-report question: “Have you felt downhearted or blue for 3 or more days in the past week? (Yes/No)”.

Social contact

Social contact was self-reported by participants and included the amount of time spent in the previous week, with whom (family, friends, others), and via which modes (in-person, phone/video call, text/email/letter). The definition of family members included those to whom the participant is related to by birth, marriage, or adoption. The definition of friends included those whom the participant knows and has a bond of mutual affection, and those who live in the neighborhood. Others include anyone else, such as volunteers, healthcare providers, business people, or other hired help.

Participants were asked: (1) “Did you spend time with any family members (or friends/others) in-person this week?”, (2) “Did you spend time talking with any family members (or friends) on the phone or by video chat this week?”, and (3) “Did you spend time communicating with any family members (or friends) in writing, such as email, text, or letter writing this week?” If yes, there was a follow-up question about the time spent on each social contact “In total, how long did you meet with family (or friends; or others), counted in minutes or hours?” Response scores ranged from 0 to 7, with a higher score indicating more time spent with the person. Response scores were converted into minutes for further analysis (60, 120, 180, 240, 300, and 360 min for response scores 1–2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, respectively). Since participants received weekly calls from research staff and participated in video chats (if in the experimental group), only the time spent in-person was included as interactions with “others.”

Analytical approach

We compared the time spent with whom (family, friends, others) and via which modes (in-person, phone/video call [henceforth categorized as “call”], text/email/letter [henceforth categorized as “writing”]) before and during the COVID-19 pandemic and their associations with low mood using a generalized estimating equations (GEE) logit model. In the GEE model, multiple weekly observations from each individual are included, while within-individual correlations are considered in estimating standard errors. The time before and during the COVID-19 pandemic (March 23, 2020) was indicated by a dummy variable (0/1). We included all the variables in one GEE

### TABLE 1 Participants’ baseline characteristics (n = 155)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean ± SD, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>80.99 ± 4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>112 (72.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>27 (17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>15.19 ± 2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>34 (21.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>62 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>51 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living situation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live alone</td>
<td>99 (63.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With a spouse/partner</td>
<td>33 (21.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With a relative, friend, roommate</td>
<td>14 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression, GDS-15</td>
<td>2.30 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1  Time spent on modes and types of social contact (per week) before and during the COVID-19 pandemic

TABLE 2  GEE model with the outcome of low mood (based on 5525 weeks of survey data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 event (yes/no)</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.75 (0.43–1.32)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family In-person time</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.92 (0.86–0.99)</td>
<td>0.046*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-person time × COVID-19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.05 (0.95–1.15)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video/call time</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.02 (0.92–1.13)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video/call time × COVID-19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.01 (0.86–1.19)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing time</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.13 (0.97–1.32)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing time × COVID-19</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.94 (0.74–1.19)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends In-person time</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.95 (0.89–1.02)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-person time × COVID-19</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.98 (0.86–1.12)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video/call time</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.04 (0.89–1.20)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video/call time × COVID-19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.07 (0.91–1.28)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing time</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.02 (0.89–1.16)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing time × COVID-19</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.77 (0.61–0.97)</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others In-person time</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.00 (0.92–1.07)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-person × COVID-19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.01 (0.90–1.13)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics Age</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.88 (0.81–0.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2.46 (0.85–7.12)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, White</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.54 (0.79–2.98)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.06 (0.90–1.25)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression severity</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.28 (1.08–1.52)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, married</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.02 (0.28–3.69)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living situation, alone</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.17 (0.45–3.06)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment group (blinded as A vs. B)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.09 (0.62–1.95)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All the variables were included in one GEE model.
Abbreviation: GEE, generalized estimating equation.

*p < 0.05.
model to identify which types of social interactions were beneficial to mood: seven types of social contact (in-person family, in-person friends, in-person others, call family, call friends, writing family, and writing friends) and their interaction terms with the COVID-19 pandemic dummy variable. SAS procedure PROC GEE was used for the analysis (SAS software version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Participant characteristics (age, sex, race, years of education, marital status, depressive symptoms), living situation (alone, with a spouse or partner, with a relative, friend or roommate, with others), and treatment assignments (blinded as A vs. B) were included as covariates. Depressive symptoms were measured by the GDS-15.23 The GDS-15 has 15 items; each item was scored as Yes (1) or No (0). A higher total score indicates more depressive symptoms.

Sex, living situation, and marital status may affect the relationship between COVID-19 and time spent in various social interaction types. Therefore, we conducted sensitivity analyses on significant COVID-19 × social type terms through three-way interaction (COVID-19 × friend writing × sex; COVID-19 × friend writing × living situation; COVID-19 × friend writing × marital status).

RESULTS

A total of 155 participants (age = 81.0 ± 4.5, 72.3% women) were included in the analysis (Table 1). A total of 5525 weeks of survey data were analyzed (3047 and 2478 weeks before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, respectively). On average, 35.6 (SD = 11.6) weeks of data were collected per participant.

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, the weekly average time spent in-person, on calls, and via writing were 406, 141, and 68 min, respectively. On average, there was a 76-min reduction in total social contact per week during the COVID-19 pandemic. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the time spent in-person had reduced by 135 min per week, while the time spent via call and writing increased by 33 and 26 min per week, respectively (Figure 1).

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, the weekly average time spent in-person with family, friends, and others were 288, 221, and 106 min, respectively. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the time spent with family, friends, and others had reduced by 16, 21, and 39 min per week, respectively (Figure 1).

The GEE model revealed that more in-person family contact was associated with less low mood regardless of the pandemic (odds ratio = 0.92, p < 0.05), suggesting that an increase of 1 h of in-person family time per week was associated with an 8% decrease in the likelihood of experiencing sustained low mood (three or more days per week) (Table 2).

There was a COVID-19 × writing time with friends interaction (odds ratio = 0.77, p = 0.03), suggesting that during the COVID-19 pandemic, an increase of 1 h of writing with friends per week was associated with a 23% decrease in the likelihood of experiencing sustained low mood (three or more days per week) (Table 2, Figure 2). Sensitivity results showed that there were no sex, living situation, or marital status effects on the relationship between writing to friends and mood during the COVID-19 pandemic (p = 0.73, p = 0.98, and p = 0.78, respectively).

DISCUSSION

Using longitudinal data collected before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, we provided evidence to support a common belief that older adults had changed social
patterns (frequency and modes) due to COVID-19 restrictions. We further examined how changes in social interactions might affect low mood in a group of at-risk older adults (with limited social interactions before the COVID-19 pandemic). Our data showed that the lost in-person time due to COVID-19 restrictions tended to be partially compensated for with increased calls and writing time, although the overall social interaction time decreased. During the COVID-19 pandemic, at least two types of social interactions (writing to friends and in-person family time) showed promise for mitigating low mood. The current study shows that, during a disease outbreak that may cause mental adversity, maintaining social ties with a bond of mutual affection (family and friends) is essential to increase emotional resilience.

Our first finding was that, although participants temporarily substituted face-to-face contact with calls and writing instead during the COVID-19 pandemic, there was still an approximately 76-min reduction in total social contact per week. In our sample, 64% of volunteers lived alone compared to approximately 28% of all non-institutionalized older adults who lived alone in the United States in 2018. This unique sample offered the opportunity to study the social pattern changes in a group of at-risk older adults before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Notably, even a small amount of lost social interaction time can be stressful, leading to further negative consequences if the situation is not managed and intervened. The Conservation of Resources theory posits that resource loss (in our case, lost social interaction time) is stressful, and individuals must invest resources to offset further resource loss. Otherwise, individuals may be vulnerable to an ongoing loss called “an action of loss spirals.” For example, studies found that under stress, at-risk individuals were more likely to alienate support due to emotional turbulences, putting them in a socially isolated situation. In a socially isolated situation, individuals may not be able to access limited resources outside their social circle, therefore possibly creating a vicious cycle.

Our second finding was that, during the COVID-19 pandemic, at least two social activities (in-person family interaction and writing with friends) showed promise for maintaining mood in older adults with limited social interactions. This finding is in line with previous population-based observational studies. Increased in-person family time but not telephone or written time predicted decreased depression in older adults. A higher frequency of connecting with friends was found to be associated with a lower likelihood of depression in older adults but not in younger adults. Our finding builds on these studies by examining modes of communication within social ties during a disease outbreak. Many possibilities may explain the effect of writing (text/email/letter) with friends on mood. First, qualitative studies have found that older adults prefer letter writing because it affords a personal touch with varying degrees of format (content, tone, paper style) to cultivate strong ties and reconnect with weak ties. Alternatively, vulnerable populations such as socially isolated older adults tend to be excluded from technology-based communication services which require video capabilities (e.g., lack mobile devices and faster network connectivity). The lack of experience in using the technology may be a hurdle for vulnerable older adults as well. These possibilities may explain why we did not see an effect of trial group (video chat experimental group vs. control group) on mood that previous longitudinal studies have shown.

We recognized this study had certain limitations. Psychological well-being was indicated by one question asked weekly over time, that is, low mood. This dichotomous mood item has been used in previous studies and showed its sensitivity to detect mood changes before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Yet we cannot describe the severity or symptoms of depression from a single question. The amount of social interaction was self-reported. However, unlike traditional survey approaches where assessment occurs infrequently (e.g., annually), we collected data weekly asking about activities in the previous week, which reduced the recall bias. A previous daily diary-based study showed that older adults spent approximately 245–294 min per week connecting with family. In the current study, older adults with limited social interactions reported on average 288 min of family contact per week before the COVID-19 pandemic. The similarity in total family contact time among older adults between these two methodologies provides support that weekly surveys have sufficient resolution to measure social contact frequency as daily diaries, for which the latter is often impractical over the long term. Future studies may use commercial software to quantify social media time and video/phone calls objectively. Additionally, we did not attempt to describe the strength and quality of social ties, which might affect their emotional states. Further, more research on the type of conversations and individual personality traits would increase our understanding of the emotional and structural needs of older adults with limited social interactions (e.g., relieving stress, catching up on news, reassuring of worth, needing instrumental help). Finally, participants included in this study were free from severe depressive symptoms (operational criteria using the GDS-15) and dementia. Therefore, the generalizability of our study findings is limited.

Lack of social interactions is a modifiable risk factor for various adverse health outcomes. The COVID-19 pandemic...
pandemic global outbreak allowed a natural experiment to observe how older adults modified their social patterns and how COVID-19 restrictions affected their emotional well-being. The evidence collected from this study can be used in many ways. When designing interventions that aim to reduce health adversity by increasing types and frequencies of social interactions, the “dosage” of social types can be referenced from this study.37 Follow-up of those who could and could not compensate for their lost interaction time during the COVID-19 pandemic is warranted to identify the impact of this pandemic on longitudinal outcomes.
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